

# The UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules

*A Commentary*

*(With an Integrated and Comparative Discussion of the  
2010 and 1976 UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules)*

Second Edition

DAVID D. CARON

LEE M. CAPLAN

ISBN 978-0-19-96930-7

Printed and bound in Great Britain by  
CPI Group (UK) Ltd, Croydon, CR9 4YY

Links to third party websites are provided by Oxford in good faith and  
for information only. Oxford disclaims any responsibility for the materials  
contained in any third party website referenced in this work.

OXFORD  
UNIVERSITY PRESS



**OXFORD**  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Great Clarendon Street, Oxford, OX2 6DP,  
United Kingdom

Oxford University Press is a department of the University of Oxford.  
It furthers the University's objective of excellence in research, scholarship,  
and education by publishing worldwide. Oxford is a registered trade mark of  
Oxford University Press in the UK and in certain other countries

© David D. Caron and Lee M. Caplan, 2012

The moral rights of the authors have been asserted

First Edition published in 2013

Impression: 1

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in  
a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the  
prior permission in writing of Oxford University Press, or as expressly permitted  
by law, by licence or under terms agreed with the appropriate reprographics  
rights organization. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside the scope of the  
above should be sent to the Rights Department, Oxford University Press, at the  
address above

You must not circulate this work in any other form  
and you must impose this same condition on any acquirer

Crown copyright material is reproduced under Class Licence  
Number C01P0000148 with the permission of OPSI  
and the Queen's Printer for Scotland

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data  
Data available

ISBN 978-0-19-969630-7

Printed and bound in Great Britain by  
CPI Group (UK) Ltd, Croydon, CR0 4YY

Links to third party websites are provided by Oxford in good faith and  
for information only. Oxford disclaims any responsibility for the materials  
contained in any third party website referenced in this work.

Yank (cont.) .C1463603 5-7-13



# Table of Contents

<i>Table of Cases and Other Practice</i>	xxvii
<i>Table of Instruments</i>	lix
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	lxxxiii

## Chapter 1: Introduction

1. Introduction	1
2. The International Arbitral Process and the Work of UNCITRAL	2
3. The Development of the UNCITRAL Rules of Procedure	3
A. The Drafting of the 1976 Rules	3
B. The UNCITRAL Rules and the Iran–US Claims Tribunal	4
(1) The Origins and Structure of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal	4
(2) The Tribunal’s Use of the UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules	5
C. The UNCITRAL Rules and the 1985 UNCITRAL Model Law	6
D. Adoption of the UNCITRAL Rules in other Public and Private Institutions	6
E. Investor–State Arbitration and the UNCITRAL Rules	7
F. The 2010 UNCITRAL Rules	8
G. The Work of UNCITRAL on Rules for Transparency in Investor–State Arbitration	9
4. How to Use this Commentary	10
A. Navigating the Text	10
B. The Interplay between the 1976 Rules and 2010 Rules in Application and Interpretation	10
C. The Extracts	10
D. Further Research	11
5. Conclusion	11

## PART I: FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES AND THE LEGAL FRAMEWORK WITHIN WHICH THE ARBITRAL TRIBUNAL OPERATES

Introduction	13
--------------	----

## Chapter 2: Scope of Application, General Provisions, and Place of Arbitration

1. Introduction	16
2. Scope of Application—Article 1	16
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	16
B. Commentary	17
(1) General comments	17
(2) Scope of application	18
(3) No writing requirement for agreement to arbitration	18
(4) Party autonomy and modification of the Rules	19
(5) Presumptive scope of application	20



(6) Future transparency rules for investor–state arbitration	23
(7) Model arbitration clause	24
(8) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules	24
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment and other Tribunals	26
(1) Article 1(1) (1976 Rules)—scope and modification	26
(2) Article 1(2) (1976 Rules)—non-derogation from mandatory law	28
3. General Provisions—Article 17	29
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	29
B. Commentary	30
(1) Basic principles—Article 17(1)	30
(a) Arbitral autonomy and its limits	30
(b) Confidentiality in UNCITRAL arbitration	36
(c) Non-disputing party ( <i>amicus</i> ) participation in UNCITRAL arbitration	39
(d) The nature of the proceedings before the Iran–US Claims Tribunal	41
(e) Concluding comments	45
(2) Provisional timetable and modification of time periods—Article 17(2)	46
(a) Provisional timetable	46
(b) Modifications of time periods	47
(c) Issues of general application	49
(3) Right to a hearing—Article 17(3)	49
(a) Scope of hearings	49
(b) Timing of hearing requests	51
(c) Preliminary meetings	52
(4) Communication of documents—Article 17(4)	53
(5) Joinder of third persons—Article 17(5)	54
(6) Consolidation of claims	57
(7) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules	58
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment and other Tribunals	59
(1) Article 15(1) (1976 Rules)—general	59
(2) Article 15(1) (1976 Rules)— <i>amicus</i> submissions	65
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal	69
(1) General and Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 15(1)	69
(2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 15(2)	74
4. Place of Arbitration—Article 18	77
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	77
B. Commentary	78
(1) Meaning of “place of arbitration”	78
(2) Selection of the place of arbitration—Article 18(1)	80
(a) Considerations to be taken into account	80
(1) Nature and suitability of the local law	81
(2) Enforceability of the award	85
(3) Neutrality	87
(4) Practical considerations	89
(b) Decision on place of arbitration not procedural: consultation with the parties	91
(3) Where the award is “deemed to have been made”	92
(4) The location of tribunal deliberations and meetings “for any other purpose, including hearings”—Article 18(2)	93
(5) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules	95
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals	96
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal	109



**Chapter 3: Applicable Law, *Amiable Compositeur***

1. Introduction	111
2. Applicable Law—Article 35	112
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	112
B. Commentary	112
(1) The primary rule—Article 35(1)	112
(a) The principle of party autonomy and its application	112
(b) Choice of applicable law where not designated by the parties	118
(2) <i>Amiable compositeur</i> or <i>ex aequo et bono</i> —Article 35(2)	119
(3) The significance of the contract and of trade usages—Article 35(3)	121
(4) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules	123
(5) A note on the Iran–US Claims Tribunal	128
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals	129
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal	131
(1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 33(1)	131
(2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 33(2)	140
(3) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 33(3)	140
(4) Other practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal	142

**PART II: ARBITRAL PROCEDURES TO CONTROL  
THE SELECTION AND CONDUCT OF ARBITRATORS**

Introduction	145
--------------	-----

**Chapter 4: The Number and Selection of Arbitrators**

1. Introduction	148
2. Designating and Appointing Authorities—Article 6	148
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	148
B. Commentary	149
(1) Opportunities for agreement on an appointing authority—Article 6(1)	149
(2) The role of the designating authority—Article 6(2) and (4)	151
(3) Suspension of time periods in the absence of an appointing authority—Article 6(3)	153
(4) The role of the appointing authority—Article 6(5)–(7)	153
C. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal	155
3. The Number of Arbitrators—Article 7	157
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	157
B. Commentary	157
(1) The default rule on the number of arbitrators—Article 7(1)	157
(2) The exception to the default rule of three arbitrators—Article 7(2)	160
(3) A number of arbitrators other than one or three	161
4. Appointment of the Sole Arbitrator—Article 8	162
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	162
B. Commentary	163
5. Appointment of a Three-Person Panel—Article 9	164
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	164
B. Commentary	164
(1) The right of each party to appoint an arbitrator	164
(2) The appointment of the presiding arbitrator	165
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals	166



6. Appointment in Multi-Party Arbitration—Article 10	169
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	169
B. Commentary	169
(1) The requirements for appointment—Article 10(1) and (2)	169
(2) The power of the appointing authority to appoint and reappoint—Article 10(3)	170
7. Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules	172

## Chapter 5: The Challenge of Arbitrators

1. Introduction	177
A. An Overview of Challenges before Investment and other Tribunals	178
(1) Challenge decision of April 15, 1993	178
(2) Challenge decision of January 11, 1995	179
(3) Challenge decision by a Division of the LCIA—October–December 2005	179
(4) The US Government's challenge of Professor James Anaya in the <i>Grand River</i> arbitration—2007	180
(5) The Argentine Government's challenge of Mr Judd L Kessler in the <i>National Grid</i> arbitration—2007	180
(6) The Argentine Government's challenges of Professor Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler in the <i>AWG Group</i> arbitration—2007–2008	181
(a) The Argentine Government's first challenge—2007	181
(b) The Argentine Government's second challenge—2008	181
(7) The Argentine Government's challenge of Mr Stanimir Alexandrov in the <i>ICS</i> arbitration—2009	182
(8) The claimant's challenge to Mr J Christopher Thomas in the <i>Gallo</i> arbitration—2009	182
B. An Overview of the Challenges before the Iran–US Claims Tribunal	183
(1) The Iranian Government challenge of Nils Mangård—1982	183
(2) The US Government challenge of Judges Kashani and Shafeiei—1984	184
(3) The first Iranian Government challenge of Judge Briner in Case No 55, <i>Amoco Iran and Islamic Republic of Iran</i> —1988	184
(4) The second Iranian Government challenge of Judge Briner—1989	184
(5) The third Iranian Government challenge of Judge Briner—1989	185
(6) The US claimant's challenge of Judge Noori in Case No 248, <i>Carlson and Melli Industrial Group</i> —1990	186
(7) The Iranian Government challenge of Judge Arangio-Ruiz—1991	186
(8) The Iranian Government challenges of Judge Krzysztof Skubiszewski—1999	186
(9) The US Government challenge of Judge Bengt Broms—2001	187
(10) The US claimant's challenge of Judge Bengt Broms in connection with Case No 485, <i>Frederica Lincoln Riahi</i> —2004	187
(11) The US Government challenge of Judges Assadollah Noori, Koorosh Ameli, and Mohsen Aghahosseini—2005–2006	188
(12) The Iranian Government challenge of Judge Krzysztof Skubiszewski and the US Government challenge of Judge Hamid Reza Oloumi Yazdi—2007	188
(13) The Iranian Government challenge of Judge Krzysztof Skubiszewski and Judge Gaetano Arangio-Ruiz—2009	189
(14) The US Government challenge of Judge Seyed Jamal Seifi—2010	190
(15) The Iranian Government challenge of Judge Charles Brower—2010	190
C. The Exclusivity of the UNCITRAL Challenge Procedure	191



2. The Duty to Disclose—Article 11	194
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	194
B. Commentary	195
(1) The duty to disclose	195
(2) Model statements of independence	198
(3) Disclosure guidelines of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal	200
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals	201
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal	202
(1) General	202
(2) Disclosure by the US Arbitrators	205
3. The Grounds for Challenge—Article 12	207
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	207
B. Commentary	208
(1) Challenge on the ground of justifiable doubts as to impartiality or independence—general remarks on Article 12(1)	208
(a) The standard for impartiality and independence is objective	208
(b) The same standard applies to party-appointed and non-party appointed arbitrators	209
(c) Criteria regarding and examples of justifiable doubts	211
(2) Challenge on the ground of justifiable doubts as to impartiality or independence	212
(a) The meaning of “impartiality” and “independence”	213
(b) When are doubts as to impartiality or independence justified?	213
(1) An arbitrator’s relationship with a witness	215
(2) An arbitrator’s financial relationship with a party (shareholding)	216
(3) An arbitrator’s financial relationship with a party (salary)	217
(4) An arbitrator’s previous employment by the parent corporation of a party	217
(5) An arbitrator’s representation in another forum that is adverse to a party	218
(6) An arbitrator’s previous advocacy on behalf of a country formerly adverse to a sovereign party	218
(7) An arbitrator’s representation of a third party with a right to intervene in the proceedings	219
(8) An arbitrator’s handling of the proceedings	220
(9) An arbitrator’s statement regarding a party or the dispute	222
(10) An arbitrator’s decision-making	222
(11) An arbitrator’s breach of the confidentiality of deliberations	223
(12) An arbitrator’s physical assault of a fellow arbitrator	225
(c) The standard of independence and impartiality does not vary according to the stage of the proceedings	225
(d) A failure to disclose under Article 11 may give rise to, but does not per se establish, justifiable doubts as to impartiality or independence	226
(e) Are there any limitations on the circumstances which may be used as the basis for justifiable doubts?	228
(3) Article 12(1) as providing the exclusive grounds for challenge	228
(4) When may a party challenge the arbitrator it has appointed?—Article 12(2)	230
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment and other Tribunals	231
D. Extracts From the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal	235
(1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 10(1)	235
(2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 10(2)	240



4. The Initiation of the Challenge and the Potential for Agreement to the Challenge—Article 13	241
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	241
B. Commentary	241
(1) When notice of challenge must be made—Article 13(1)	241
(a) General comments on the <i>travaux préparatoires</i>	241
(b) When circumstances “became known” to the challenging party	243
(1) Burden of proof	243
(2) Actual prior knowledge	245
(2) To whom should notice be sent; what form should notice take; sufficiency of the notice; who may send notice—Article 13(2)	248
(3) Procedure if challenge is accepted by all parties or challenged arbitrator withdraws—Article 13(3)	253
(4) Timeline for seeking a decision on a challenge—Article 13(4)	256
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals	256
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal	257
(1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 11(1)	257
(2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 11(2)	263
(3) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 11(3)	267
5. The Resolution of the Challenge	268
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	268
B. Commentary	268
(1) Which authority shall make the decision on the challenge if the other party does not agree to the challenge or the challenged arbitrator does not withdraw?	268
(2) When may a party seek the assistance of the PCA Secretary-General in designating an appointing authority?	270
(3) What procedures the appointing authority may follow in deciding the challenge	271
(4) Limitations on the powers of the appointing authority	272
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals	272
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal	273
(1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 12(1)	273
(2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 12(2)	274
6. Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules	274
 Chapter 6: Failure to Act, other Disruptions, and the Replacement of an Arbitrator	
1. Introduction	278
2. Failure to Act and other Disruptions—Article 12(3)	278
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	278
B. Commentary	278
(1) Drafting history of the rule and general comments	278
(2) Iran–US Claims Tribunal practice with respect to an arbitrator’s failure to act	280
(3) Iran–US Claims Tribunal practice with respect to other disruptions	282
(a) A note on substitute arbitrators	282
(b) A note on truncated proceedings	283
(1) The absence of Mr Sani, Fall 1983	284
(2) The absence of Judge Mostafavi, Spring 1988	284
(3) The practice of the Tribunal regarding absence from the hearing	285



(4) The practice of the Tribunal regarding the rendering of awards despite a refusal to sign	286
(5) The practice of the Tribunal regarding the rendering of awards on agreed terms despite no participation by an arbitrator	286
(6) The practice of the Tribunal regarding the holding of pre-hearing conference despite the absence of an arbitrator	286
(c) A note on resignation of an arbitrator	286
(4) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules	289
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals	289
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran-US Claims Tribunal	290
(1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 13(1)—Resignation	290
(2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 13(2)—Failure to act	291
(3) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 13(3)	302
(4) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 13(4)	302
(5) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 13(5)	302
3. Replacement of an Arbitrator—Article 14	305
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	305
B. Commentary	305
(1) Rationale for the revised approach: the problem of spurious resignations	305
(2) Procedures when an arbitrator “has to be replaced”—Article 14(1)	307
(3) Replacement of an arbitrator in “exceptional circumstances”—Article 14(2)	308
(a) Depriving a party of its right to appoint	308
(b) Procedural requirements	311
(c) Exclusivity of the discretion of the appointing authority	312
(d) Agreement between the parties	313
(4) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules	314
(a) The express power to proceed	315
(b) The inherent power to proceed	317
4. Repetition of Hearings in the Event of Replacement of an Arbitrator—Article 15	318
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	318
B. Commentary	318
(1) General comments	318
(2) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules	320
C. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran-US Claims Tribunal	322
<b>Chapter 7: Exclusion of Liability for Arbitrators and other Participants</b>	
1. Introduction	325
2. Exclusion of Liability for Arbitrators and other Key Actors—Article 16	325
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	325
B. Commentary	326
(1) General	326
(2) Practice of the Iran-US Claims Tribunal	330
(3) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules	330
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals	330
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran-US Claims Tribunal	331



<b>Chapter 8: The Institution of the Appointing Authority</b>	
1. Evolution of the UNCITRAL Approach?	337
2. The Appointing Authority and Composition Generally	338
3. Lessons from the Iran-US Claims Tribunal for the Office of the Appointing Authority	340
 <b>PART III: THE INITIATION OF THE ARBITRATION AND THE IDENTIFICATION AND CLARIFICATION OF THE ISSUES PRESENTED</b>	
Introduction	345
 <b>Chapter 9: Representation and Assistance</b>	
1. Introduction	347
2. Representation and Assistance—Article 5	347
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	347
B. Commentary	348
(1) The right to representation and assistance by persons chosen	348
(2) Communicating the identity and role of representatives and advisers	351
(3) Proof of a representative's authority	352
(4) Practice of the Iran-US Claims Tribunal	353
(5) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules	354
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals	355
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran-US Claims Tribunal	356
 <b>Chapter 10: The Notice Initiating Arbitration and the Response</b>	
1. Introduction	359
2. The Notice Initiating Arbitration—Article 3	360
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	360
B. Commentary	361
(1) The requirement to communicate a notice of arbitration—Article 3(1)	361
(2) The date of commencement of arbitration proceedings—Article 3(2)	362
(3) Mandatory information provided in the notice of arbitration—Article 3(3)	363
(4) Additional information provided in the notice of arbitration—Article 3(4)	364
(5) Insufficiency of the notice of arbitration—Article 3(5)	364
(6) International investment agreements and the notice of arbitration	365
(7) The notice of arbitration at the Iran-US Claims Tribunal	366
(8) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules	368
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals	369
3. The Response to the Notice of Arbitration—Article 4	370
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	370
B. Commentary	370
(1) The requirement to respond to the notice of arbitration—Article 4(1)	370
(2) Mandatory contents of the response to the notice of arbitration—Article 4(1)	372
(3) Optional contents of the response to the notice of arbitration—Article 4(2)	373



- (4) Absence, lateness, or insufficiency of the response to the notice of arbitration—Article 4(3) 373
- (5) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules 373

## Chapter 11: The Choice of Language

- 1. Introduction 375
- 2. The Choice of Language—Article 19 376
  - A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule 376
  - B. Commentary 376
    - (1) Determination of the language of arbitration—Article 19(1) 376
    - (2) Translation of documents and exhibits—Article 19(2) 382
    - (3) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules 384
  - C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals 384
  - D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal 385
    - (1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 17(1) 385
    - (2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 17(2) 387

## Chapter 12: Notice and the Calculation of Periods of Time

- 1. Introduction 393
- 2. Notice and Calculation of Periods of Time—Article 2 394
  - A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule 394
  - B. Commentary 395
    - (1) What form notice may take—Article 2(1) 395
    - (2) When notice becomes effective—Article 2(2)–(4) 397
      - (a) A notice is “deemed to have been received” 397
      - (b) Means of delivery, including by electronic communication 399
        - (1) Electronic communications 400
        - (c) Application of Article 2(1) of the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules by the Iran–US Claims Tribunal 401
    - (3) How to calculate periods of time under the Rules—Article 2(5)–(6) 402
    - (4) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules 403
  - C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals 404
  - D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–United States Claims Tribunal 404
    - (1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 2(1) 405
    - (2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 2(2) 405
    - (3) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 2(3) 407
    - (4) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 2(4) 407
    - (5) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 2(5) 407

## Chapter 13: Statements of Claim and Defence

- 1. Introduction 409
- 2. The Statement of Claim—Article 20 410
  - A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule 410
  - B. Commentary 411
    - (1) Submission of the statement of claim 411
    - (2) Contents of the statement of claim 412
    - (3) Sanctions for an inadequate statement of claim 414
    - (4) A note on the Iran–US Claims Tribunal 415
    - (5) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules 416
  - C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals 417



D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran-US Claims Tribunal	419
(1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 18(1)	419
(2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 18(2)	419
3. The Statement of Defence—Article 21	421
A Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	422
B Commentary	422
(1) Submission of the statement of defence	422
(2) Contents of the statement of defence	423
(3) Submission of counterclaims and claims for the purpose of set-off	424
(a) General issues	424
(b) The counterclaim or the claim for a set-off must be within the jurisdiction of the arbitral tribunal	426
(4) Supplementary provisions on counterclaims and claims for the purpose of set-off	428
(5) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules	429
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals	432
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran-US Claims Tribunal	434
(1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 19(1)	434
(2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 19(2)	436
(3) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 19(3)	436
(4) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 19(4)	447
<b>Chapter 14: Objections to the Jurisdiction of the Arbitral Tribunal</b>	
1. Introduction	449
2. Objections to Jurisdiction—Article 23	450
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	450
B. Commentary	450
(1) The power of the tribunal to determine its own jurisdiction—Article 23(1)	450
(2) Objections to the existence or validity of the contract of which the arbitration agreement is a part and the doctrine of separability—Article 23(1)	453
(3) When objections should be raised—Article 23(2)	455
(4) When objections should be ruled upon—Article 23(3)	457
(5) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules	458
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals	459
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran-US Claims Tribunal	463
(1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 21(1)	463
(2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 21(2)	463
(3) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 21(3)	464
(4) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 21(4)	464
<b>Chapter 15: Amendments to the Claim or Defence</b>	
1. Introduction	467
2. Amendments to the Claim or Defence—Article 22	467
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	467
B. Commentary	468
(1) General	468
(2) The amendment must not fall outside the jurisdiction of the arbitral tribunal	469



(3) Grounds for rejecting an amendment: delay, prejudice or other circumstances	471
(4) Procedural questions	474
(5) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules	475
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals	475
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran-US Claims Tribunal	479

## Chapter 16: Further Written Statements and Time Limits on Submission

1. Introduction	491
2. Further Written Statements—Article 24	491
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	491
B. Commentary	492
(1) General comments	492
(2) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules	496
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals	496
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran-US Claims Tribunal	498
3. Time Limits on Submission—Article 25	505
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	505
B. Commentary	505
(1) General comments	505
(2) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules	508
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals	508
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran-US Claims Tribunal	508

## Chapter 17: Interim Measures

1. Introduction	513
2. Interim Measures—Article 26	514
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	514
B. Commentary	515
(1) The precondition of a request by a party—Article 26(1)	516
(2) Types of interim measures that may be granted—Article 26(2)	517
(a) Maintain or restore the <i>status quo</i>	518
(b) Prevent prejudice to the arbitral process itself	518
(c) Preserve assets for possible satisfaction of award	519
(d) Preserve evidence	519
(3) Conditions for the ordering of interim measures—Article 26(3)	520
(a) A likely harm not adequately reparable by an award of damages	521
(b) An appropriate balance of likely harms	522
(c) A reasonable possibility of success on the merits	522
(d) Further conditions imposed by the agreement of the parties	524
(4) Conditions relaxed for the preservation of evidence—Article 26(4)	524
(5) The form (award or order) in which interim measures are granted	524
(6) Tribunal's power to modify, suspend or terminate interim measures—Article 26(5)	525
(7) Security for the costs of interim measures—Article 26(6)	526
(8) Disclosure by any party of any material change—Article 26(7)	527
(9) Liability for costs and damages—Article 26(8)	528
(10) Relations between the arbitral tribunal and municipal courts in issuing interim measures—Article 26(9)	529
(11) Issuance of preliminary orders	530
(12) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules	532



C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals	533
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran-US Claims Tribunal	543
(1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 26(1)	543
(2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 26(2)	550
(3) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 26(3)	551
PART IV: THE PRESENTATION OF THE CASE: EVIDENCE AND HEARINGS	
Introduction	553
Chapter 18: Evidence	
1. Introduction	555
2. Evidence—Article 27	557
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	557
B. Commentary	557
(1) Burden of proof—Article 27(1)	557
(2) Definition of “witnesses”—Article 27(2)	561
(a) General comments	561
(b) Practice of the Iran-US Claims Tribunal	563
(3) Written witness statements—Article 27(2)	564
(4) Production of documents—Article 27(3)	565
(a) General comments	565
(b) Production of documents at the request of a party	567
(c) “Enforcement” of production orders	570
(5) Admissibility, relevance, materiality, and weight of the evidence—Article 27(4)	571
(6) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules	574
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals	576
(1) Article 27(1) (1976 Rules)—Burden of proof	576
(2) Article 27(2) (1976 Rules)—Definition of “witnesses”	577
(3) Article 27(2) (1976 Rules)—Written witness statements	577
(4) Article 27(3) (1976 Rules)—Production of documents	577
(5) Article 27(4) (1976 Rules)—Admissibility, relevance, materiality, and weight of the evidence	579
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran-US Claims Tribunal	580
(1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 24(1)—Burden of proof	580
(2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 24(2)—Summary of evidence	587
(3) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 24(3)—Document production	588
(4) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 25(5)—Written witness statements	593
(5) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 25(6)—Admissibility, relevance, materiality, and weight of the evidence	594
Chapter 19: The Hearings	
1. Introduction	601
2. The Hearings—Article 28	602
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	602
B. Commentary	602
(1) Notice of hearing—Article 28(1)	602
(2) The conduct of the hearing—Article 28(2)–(4)	604



(a) The conditions for and manner of witness examination	604
(1) Notice of hearing and witness testimony	605
(2) Cross-examination	605
(3) Declarations	606
(b) Hearings normally held <i>in camera</i>	607
(c) Retirement of witnesses	608
(d) Examination without physical presence of witness	609
(e) Translation of oral statements, record of the hearing	610
(3) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules	611
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals	613
(1) The conditions for and manner of witness examination	613
(2) Hearings held <i>in camera</i>	617
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran-US Claims Tribunal	617
(1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 25(1)	617
(2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 25(2)	619
(3) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 25(3)	623
(4) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 25(4)	624
3. Closure of the Hearing—Article 31	624
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	624
B. Commentary	625
(1) Closure of the hearing—Article 31(1)	625
(2) Reopening of the hearing—Article 31(2)	626
(3) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules	628
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals	629
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran-US Claims Tribunal	629
(1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 29(1)	629
(2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 29(2)	630
<b>Chapter 20: Tribunal-Appointed Experts</b>	
1. Introduction	635
2. Tribunal-Appointed Experts—Article 29	636
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	636
B. Commentary	637
(1) General comments	637
(2) Appointment by the tribunal and terms of reference—Article 29(1)–(2)	638
(a) Appointment	638
(b) Terms of reference	640
(3) Objections to the qualifications or the impartiality and independence of the tribunal-appointed expert—Article 29(2)	642
(4) The provision of relevant information to the tribunal-appointed expert—Article 29(3)	644
(5) The tribunal-appointed expert's report—Article 29(4)	645
(6) Expert hearings—Article 29(5)	646
(7) Note on party-appointed “expert witnesses”	647
(8) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules	648
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals	648
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran-US Claims Tribunal	650
(1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 27(1)—appointment and terms of reference	650
(a) The <i>Shahin Shaine Ebrahimi</i> case	650
(b) The <i>Arco Exploration</i> case	653
(c) The <i>Starrett Housing</i> case	655



(d) The <i>Richard D Harza</i> case	656
(e) The <i>Behring International</i> case	660
(2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 27(2)—provision of relevant information	662
(3) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 27(3)—expert report	663
(a) The <i>Shahin Shaine Ebrahimi</i> case	663
(b) The <i>Arco Exploration</i> case	664
(c) The <i>Behring International</i> case	665
(d) The <i>Richard D Harza</i> case	665
(e) The <i>Starrett Housing</i> case	665
(4) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 27(4)—expert hearing	667
 <b>PART V: DEFAULT AND WAIVER</b>	
Introduction	669
 <b>Chapter 21: Default</b>	
1. Introduction	671
2. Default—Article 30	671
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	671
B. Commentary	672
(1) General comments	672
(2) Failure to file early written submissions—Article 30(1)	675
(3) Failure to appear at a hearing—Article 30(2)	677
(4) Failure to produce documentary evidence—Article 30(3)	677
(5) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules	678
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals	679
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal	683
(1) General and Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 28	683
(2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 28(2)	685
(3) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 28(3)	687
 <b>Chapter 22: Waiver</b>	
1. Introduction	691
2. Waiver—Article 32	691
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	691
B. Commentary	692
(1) General comments	692
(2) The level of knowledge required	693
(3) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules	695
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals	695
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal	696
 <b>PART VI: THE AWARD</b>	
Introduction	697
 <b>Chapter 23: Deliberations and Decisions</b>	
1. Introduction	699
2. Decisions—Article 33	700



A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	700
B. Commentary	700
(1) Awards and other Decisions by Majority Vote—Article 33(1)	700
(a) General comments	700
(b) Majority decision-making by the Iran–US Claims Tribunal	704
(1) The dynamics of deliberations	704
(2) The practice of deliberating and drafting awards	705
(2) Decision-Making on Procedural Questions—Article 33(2)	706
(a) The meaning of “questions of procedure”	709
(b) Revision	710
(3) Confidentiality of deliberations—Article 31, Note 2 of the 1983 Tribunal Rules	711
(a) The scope of the rule of confidentiality	712
(b) Enforcing the rule of confidentiality	715
(1) Censure of written statements	715
(2) Statements of disapproval by fellow arbitrators	716
(3) Challenge proceedings	716
(4) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules	716
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment and other Tribunals	717
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal	719
(1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 31(1)	719
(2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 31(2)	722
(3) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 31, Note 2—Confidentiality of deliberations	723

## Chapter 24: Form and Effect

1. Introduction	732
2. Form and Effect—Article 34	732
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	732
B. Commentary	733
(1) Discretion to make separate awards—Article 34(1)	733
(2) The award is “final and binding” and the parties must “carry out the award without delay”—Article 34(2)	738
(a) General commentary on “final and binding”	738
(1) “Final”	738
(2) “Binding”	741
(b) Waiver of recourse against the award—optional provision	742
(3) Written award and designation of the date and place of the award’s making—Article 34(2) and (4)	745
(4) Obligation to sign and failure to sign the award—Article 34(4)	746
(a) General comments on the travaux préparatoires	746
(b) Practical matters regarding the statement of reasons for the absence of an arbitrator’s signature	749
(5) Statement of reasons for the award and dissenting opinions—Article 34(3)	750
(a) Statement of reasons for the award	750
(b) Dissenting and separate opinions	752
(6) Publication of the award—Article 34(5)	755
(7) Copies of the award to the parties—Article 34(6)	757
(8) Filing and registration of the award	759
(9) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules	759



C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment and other Tribunals	761
(1) Article 32(1) (1976 Rules)—Types of award	761
(2) Article 32(2) (1976 Rules)—Final and binding	762
(3) Article 32(2) and (4) (1976 Rules)—Date, place, and signature	763
(4) Article 32(4) (1976 Rules)—Failure to sign the award	764
(5) Article 32(7) (1976 Rules)—Filing and registration of an award	765
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran-US Claims Tribunal	766
(1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 32(1)—Types of award	766
(2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 32(2)—Final and binding award	767
(3) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 32(3) and (4)—Date, place, and signature	767
(4) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 32(3)—Reasons for the award	768
(5) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 32(4)—Failure to sign the award	769
(a) Statements of reasons for failure to sign the award	769
(b) Improper statements of reasons and post-award exchanges	773
(6) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 32(5)—Publication of the award	776

## Chapter 25: Settlement and other Grounds for Termination

1. Introduction	781
2. Settlement and other Termination—Article 36	781
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	781
B. Commentary	782
(1) Settlement—Article 36(1)	782
(a) Types of settlement agreement and the practice of awards on agreed terms	782
(b) Discretion to record a settlement agreement	784
(c) Pre-settlement negotiations	786
(2) Other grounds for termination—Article 36(2)	788
(a) Continuation of the arbitration is “unnecessary” or “impossible”	788
(b) The existence of “remaining matters”	790
(3) Technical requirements for awards on agreed terms—Article 36(3)	790
(4) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules	790
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals	792
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran-US Claims Tribunal	793
(1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 34(1)	793
(2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 34(2)	798

## Chapter 26: Post-Award Proceedings

1. Introduction	801
2. Interpretation of the Award—Article 37	802
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	802
B. Commentary	802
(1) General	802
(2) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules	806
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals	806
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran-US Claims Tribunal	808
3. Correction of the Award—Article 38	811
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	811
B. Commentary	811
(1) General	811
(2) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules	814
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals	815



D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal	815
(1) Request for correction granted	815
(2) Request for correction denied	817
4. Additional Award—Article 39	821
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	821
B. Commentary	821
(1) General	821
(2) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules	824
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals	825
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal	825
(1) Request for additional award granted	825
(2) Request for additional award denied	825
5. The Finality of Awards and the Inherent Power to Reconsider	827
A. Commentary	827
B. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals	831
C. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal	832
(1) Limited power of review	832
(2) Revision to address fraud or corruption	836
<b>Chapter 27: The Costs of Arbitration</b>	
1. Introduction	840
2. The Costs of Arbitration—Article 40	841
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	841
B. Commentary	841
(1) The duty to fix the costs of arbitration	841
(2) Costs defined	843
(a) Subparagraph (a)	843
(b) Subparagraphs (b) and (c)	844
(c) Subparagraph (d)	844
(d) Subparagraph (e)	845
(e) Subparagraph (f)	846
(3) The costs of interpretation, correction and completion of an award—Article 40(3)	847
(4) Note on the costs of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal	848
(5) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules	848
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment and other Tribunals	849
3. Arbitrators' Fees—Article 41	852
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	852
B. Commentary	853
(1) Regulation of the arbitrators' fees and expenses under the Rules—general background, Article 41(1) and (2)	853
(2) Determining the fees and expenses of the arbitral tribunal and potential review by a neutral arbiter—Article 41(3)–(6)	855
(a) The manner in which fees and expenses will be determined—Article 41(3) and (5)	856
(1) Transparency	857
(2) Neutral Mechanism	858
(3) Efficiency	859
(b) The computation of the arbitral tribunal's fees and expenses—Article 41(4)–(6)	860
(1) Transparency	860
(2) Neutral Mechanism	860
(3) Efficiency	861



(3) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules	862
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals	863
4. Apportionment of Costs—Article 42	865
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	865
B. Commentary	865
(1) Apportioning and awarding costs	865
(2) “The circumstances of the case”	870
(a) The degree of success of the parties	870
(b) The conduct of the parties	871
(c) The nature of the parties	873
(d) The nature of the dispute resolution mechanism	874
(3) Requirements for making a claim for costs	875
(a) Documentation	875
(b) Timing of requests	875
(c) Proof of reasonable legal costs	876
(4) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules	877
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals	877
(1) Article 40(1) and (2) (1976 Rules)—General	877
(2) Article 40(1) and (2) (1976 Rules)—“Circumstances of the case”	879
(a) The success of the parties	879
(b) The conduct of the parties	882
(c) The nature of the dispute resolution mechanism	887
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal	891
(1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 40(1) and (2)—General	891
(2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 40(1) and (2)—“Circumstances of the case”	892
(a) The success of the parties	892
(b) The conduct of the parties	894
5. Deposit of Costs—Article 43	896
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule	896
B. Commentary	896
(1) The request for deposits	897
(2) Consultation with the appointing authority	897
(3) Failure to make requested deposits	899
(4) The right to an accounting and the return of unexpended deposits	899
(5) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules	900
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals	901
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal	901
	903
<b>Appendices</b>	
Appendix 1—UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules (as revised in 2010)	907
Appendix 2—UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules (1976)	925
Appendix 3—A Tabular Comparison of the 1976–2010 UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules	939
Appendix 4—UNCITRAL Notes on Organizing Arbitral Proceedings (May 28–June 14, 1996)	967
Appendix 5—The Tribunal Rules of Procedure Provisionally adopted May 3, 1983, as amended May 27, 1997	983
Appendix 6—Recommendations to Assist Arbitral Institutions and Other Interested Bodies with regard to Arbitration under the UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules as revised in 2010	1007
<b>Select Bibliography</b>	1021
<b>Index</b>	1033



# Table of Cases and Other Practice

## THE PRACTICE OF TRIBUNALS APPLYING THE UNCITRAL RULES

### A. Iran-US Claims Tribunal

#### (1) Awards, decisions, and orders

##### (a) State-to-state claims

Case No A1 .....	287, 594, 719, 769, 785-6, 788
Case No A2 .....	368, 440, 873-4, 894
Case No A3 .....	53, 76, 288-9, 304, 618-19, 734, 791, 827, 830, 834, 873
Case No A4 .....	544
Case No A8 .....	53, 76, 288-9, 304, 618-19, 734, 791, 827, 830, 834, 873
Case No A9 .....	53, 76, 288-9, 304, 618-19, 734, 791, 827, 830, 834
Case No A11 .....	704, 721
Case No A12 .....	444
Case No A14 .....	53, 76, 288-9, 304, 618-19, 734, 791, 827, 830, 834
Case No A15 .....	68, 189-90, 292, 524, 544-5, 549-50, 738, 767
Case No A16 .....	594, 624
Case No A17 .....	40, 798, 817
Case No A18 .....	464-6, 753
Case No A20 .....	560, 596, 832
Case No A21 .....	43, 292
Case No A24 .....	521, 549-50
Case No A25 .....	380
Case No A26(I, II and III)-FT .....	790
Case No A27 .....	810, 821, 823, 827, 837
Case No A28 .....	187, 223, 229, 421, 706, 712, 714-16, 727-8, 754, 767
Case No A30 .....	591
Case No A33 .....	506, 512, 710
Case No B1 .....	223-4, 282, 302, 421, 424, 446, 458, 466, 549, 567, 709-10, 723, 738
Case No B9 .....	141
Case No B12 .....	141
Case No B16 .....	53, 76
Case No B25 .....	783
Case No B36 .....	116, 140, 803, 813, 820, 836
Case No B61 .....	189-90, 205, 211, 251, 266, 288-9, 304, 603, 618-19, 713, 734, 791, 823, 827, 830, 834

##### (b) Claims of Nationals

Abrahamian, Katrin Zohrabegian and Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran, Award No ITL 74-377-3 (1 December 1989), 23 Iran-US CTR 285 (1989-II) ...	678
---	-----